



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 09/960,529 | 09/21/2001 | Benjamin Renaud | BEAS-01067US0 | 5297 |
| 23910 | 7590 | 10/22/2007 | | |
| FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 | | | EXAMINER VU, TUAN A | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2193 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 10/22/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Handwritten mark

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/960,529 | RENAUD, BENJAMIN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tuan A. Vu | 2193 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) _____
(2) Joe O'Malley. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Handwritten signature of Tuan A. Vu

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative discussed with the Examiner about how the BEA's (assignee) online document is actual work done by the Inventor; but that communication had been prohibitive between the (editor/publisher-related) parties involved (from the standpoint of the inventor) in order to identify the authors who had effectuated the writing up of such work, i.e. the effect of which has not effectively support the purport intended by way of the 1.132 declaration with corroborating facts as to identifying the inventor as author of the matter represented by such document. The Examiner has suggested (i) a demonstrative exhibit showing mapping of work done by the inventor with parts of said BEA reference including clear explanation therefor signed by the inventor; or (ii) a statement by the assignee confirming that some part of the matter in such document falls under the inventor's own work. The representative mentioned that the effect of maintaining the affidavit about applicant's work inside said document would have to be followed through, and pending the examiner's first Office Action responsive to the RCE submission of record, another written response will have to be effected according to such sustained intention..